

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/529,613	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUDARAVALLI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Steven C. Pohnert	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Steven C. Pohnert.

(2) John Prince.

**Date of Interview:** 1 May 2008

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2:30

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted applicant's representative to confirm there had been a typographical error and claim 16 properly depends from claim 6. Mr. Prince confirmed that claim 16 properly depends from claim 6.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)